

EVALUATION OF TEACHER CANDIDATE

Merged Program – Special Education and Elementary Education, K-6

2015 --- 2017 Academic Years

MID-TERM and FINAL evaluations request that mentor teachers provide written evidence of areas of strength and areas for development for the teacher candidates working in their classrooms.

Teacher candidates are responsible for arranging conferences with their mentor at MID-TERM and toward the END of the field placement to discuss the evaluation.

Teacher candidates are also responsible for self-evaluating, providing the mentor with evidence to support their assessments.

The mentor teacher’s FINAL evaluation is an official document. The UHM field supervisor collects the signed forms to file with the program.

The evaluations are organized according to the Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium Model Core Teaching Standards (InTASC), which align with the standards of the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC).

InTASC <i>Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium Model Core Teaching Standards</i>	CEC <i>Council for Exceptional Children</i>
1. Learner Development 2. Learner Differences 3. Learning Environments	1. Learner Development and Individual Learning Differences 2. Learning Environments
4. Content Knowledge 5. Application of Content	3. Curricular Content Knowledge
6. Assessment	4. Assessment
7. Planning for Instruction 8. Instructional Strategies	5. Instructional Planning and Strategies
9. Professional Learning and Ethical Practice 10. Leadership and Collaboration	6. Professional Learning and Practice 7. Collaboration

END-SEMESTER Field Experience Evaluation Form

Merged Program in Special Education and Elementary Education K-6 - University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

First Name		Last Name	
Teacher Candidate			
Mentor Teacher			
UH Email		School	
Teacher Candidate			
Mentor Teacher			

Person completing this form: Teacher Candidate Mentor Teacher Semester: 2 3

I. The Learner and Learning

Please provide evidence of strengths and areas for development with respect to:

- InTASC 1. Learner Development
- InTASC 2. Learning Differences
- InTASC 3. Learning Environments

Strengths

Areas for Development

II. Content Knowledge

Please provide evidence of strengths and areas for development with respect to:
InTASC 4. Content Knowledge
InTASC 5. Application of Content

Strengths

Areas for Development

III. Instructional Practice

Please provide evidence of strengths and areas for development with respect to:
InTASC 6. Assessment
InTASC 7. Planning for Instruction
InTASC 8. Instructional Strategies

Strengths

Areas for Development

IV. Professional Responsibility

Please provide evidence of strengths and areas for development with respect to:
InTASC 9. Professional Learning and Ethical Practice
InTASC 10. Leadership and Collaboration

Strengths

Areas for Development

Signatures indicate review of this evaluation.

Teacher Candidate	_____	Date	_____
Mentor Teacher	_____	Date	_____
UHM Field Supervisor (GEN)	_____	Date	_____
UHM Field Supervisor (SPED)	_____	Date	_____

This evaluation should be signed by the Teacher Candidate, the Mentor Teacher, and both the UHM field supervisors. The Teacher Candidate and Mentor Teacher should each receive copies, and the UHM field supervisor should collect the originals. Thank you for your assistance.